

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,257	04/12/2001	Franz-Marcus Nowak	10533/3	5012
757	7590 10/07/2004		EXAM	INER
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			NGUYEN, TAI T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2632	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		6 <b>1</b> X
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/835,257	NOWAK, FRANZ-MARCUS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tai T. Nguyen	2632
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 April 2001.	
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		-
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		· ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2)</li></ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/835,257 Page 2

Art Unit: 2632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-18, drawn to a multimedia alarm system, classified in class 340, subclass 286.
  - II. Claims 19-21, drawn to an Internet clock, classified in class 368, subclass47.
  - III. Claims 22-23, drawn to a method of playing selected video and audio, classified in class 725, subclass 109.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I-II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a multimedia alarm not using the specific Internet clock of II or the method of playing selected video/audio of III; invention II has separate utility such as an Internet clock not using the specific a multimedia alarm of I or the method of playing selected video/audio of III; invention III has separate utility such as a method of playing selected video/audio not using the multimedia alarm of I or specific Internet clock of II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/835,257

Art Unit: 2632

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

Page 3

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment I: figures 1-5; and

Embodiment II: figures 6-12.

4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/835,257 Page 4

Art Unit: 2632

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,257

Art Unit: 2632

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Larry

September 27, 2004 Tai T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2632